Electroluminescence caused by the transport of interacting electrons through parallel quantum dots in a photon cavity
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We show that a Rabi-splitting of the states of strongly interacting electrons in parallel quantum dots embedded in a short quantum wire placed in a photon cavity can be produced by either the para- or the dia-magnetic electron-photon interactions when the geometry of the system is properly accounted for and the photon field is tuned close to a resonance with the electron system. We use these two resonances to explore the electroluminescence caused by the transport of electrons through the one- and two-electron ground states of the system and their corresponding conventional and vacuum electroluminescence as the central system is opened up by coupling it to external leads acting as electron reservoirs. Our analysis indicates that high-order electron-photon processes are necessary to adequately construct the cavity-photon dressed electron states needed to describe both types of electroluminescence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of many-body quantum mechanics and quantum electrodynamics, vacuum effects have intrigued researchers. Low-dimensional solid-state systems offer a good platform to measure some of these vacuum effects due to their tunable parameters. In particular, nanoelectronic systems coupled to external leads. The vacuum effects can be amplified in experimental systems by enhancing the electron-photon interaction through microcavities used to bring the photon frequency into a resonance with a particular electron transition, a Rabi resonance. An ultra-strong light-matter coupling was achieved in systems of atoms in metal cavities in the late 1980s and in solid state systems few years later. The parameter commonly used to characterize the strength of the light-matter interaction in cavity quantum electrodynamics is the normalized coupling, \( \eta = \Omega_R/\omega \), where \( \Omega_R \) is the Rabi frequency of two electronic states brought close to a resonance with the frequency of the cavity photon \( \omega \). For \( \eta \ll 1 \) the one-electron ground state has only a small contribution of states with finite photon component when the Hamiltonian of the system is diagonalized. In the ultra-strong regime when \( \eta \approx 1 \) the expectation value of the photon operator in the one-electron ground state is large. An electron entering the system from the left lead has a finite probability to exit the system, from the ground state, into the right lead leaving behind a photon in the central system. A current through the electronic system in the cavity can thus lead to a Ground State Electroluminescence (GSE). If the lifetime of the cavity photons is longer than the lifetime of the electrons in the central system the accumulation of cavity photons can lead to the occupation of high-lying photon-dressed electron states well above the bias window defined by the chemical potentials of the external leads.

A related dynamical phenomena termed, “extra cavity quantum vacuum radiation”, has been predicted for the case of a fast modulated Rabi frequency in a two-level system coupled both resonantly and anti-resonantly to a single photon mode in a cavity without a coupling to external leads.

Here, we investigate how the geometry of the parallel double quantum dots and the polarization of the cavity-photon field in a 3D rectangular cavity can be used to study the conventional electroluminescence and the corresponding GSE of either the para- or the diamagnetic part of the electron-photon interaction for the one- or the Coulomb interacting two-electron ground state of the central system. Recently, a gate defined double-dot system in a GaAs heterostructure, but in a different kind of a cavity, a planar SQUID-array resonator, has been brought into the strong light-material coupling regime. The threshold dynamics of masing has been studied in gate defined InAs double quantum dots, and quantum dots in a planar microwave cavity have both been coupled to superconducting and fermionic external leads, with the transport current into and through the system showing high sensitivity to a low number of photons in the respective cavities.

In order to accomplish our analysis we use a Markovian master equation derived from a non-Markovian master equation without invoking the rotating wave approximation that would only select the resonant terms of the electron-photon interaction. As we use a configuration interaction (CI) approach for the electron-electron and the electron-photon interactions, we are not limited to observation of the effects in areas of the parameter space.
accessible by low order perturbation calculations. In a multi-level system the Rabi splitting of a particular set of states is influenced by the properties of the near lying and higher energy states of the system. The polarizability of the charge distribution in the system depends on its geometry and the interactions present in the system. As a result the normalized coupling $\eta$ may not be easily tuned into the ultra strong regime, but even so, vacuum radiation effects can be observed for lower values of $\eta$.

Traditionally, approaches to nonequilibrium transport have more commonly been built on Green functions.\textsuperscript{14} Recently, Hagenmüller et al. used a Green functions formalism to study the cavity-enhanced transport of charge through a non-interacting one-dimensional system coupled to external leads. In a supplemental-material section they compare their formalism to a Markovian master equation.\textsuperscript{15} An advantage of the Green functions is that they can conveniently be used for non-adiabatic conditions\textsuperscript{16} but a disadvantage is that many-body Coulomb effects – which can be naturally incorporated in a master equation\textsuperscript{12} – can not be easily included without additional approximations.

\section{II. THE MODEL}

We model a two-dimensional electron system in a short quantum wire of length $L_x = 150$ nm with two embedded parallel quantum dots. The short wire is parabolically confined in the $y$-direction with characteristic confinement energy $\hbar \Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV, and has a hard wall confinement at the ends in the $x$-direction, $\pm L_x/2$, that will be the direction of electron transport to be described below. The short quantum wire is placed in the center of a rectangular photon cavity. The cavity and the two-dimensional electron system will be referred to as the “central system”, as it will be coupled to two external leads acting as electron reservoirs below. The potential describing the closed electronic system can be expressed as

$$V(x, y) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} m^* \Omega_0^2 y^2 + eV_g ight. + V_d \sum_{i=1}^{2} \exp \left\{ - (\beta x)^2 - \beta^2(y-d_i)^2 \right\} ]$$

$$\times \theta \left( \frac{L_x}{2} - x \right) \theta \left( \frac{L_x}{2} + x \right), \quad (1)$$

with $V_d = -6.5$ meV, $\beta = 0.03$ nm$^{-1}$, $d_1 = -50$ nm, $d_2 = +50$ nm, and $\theta$ is the Heaviside step function. $V_g$ is the electrostatic plunger-gate voltage used to place the many-body energy levels of the central system with respect to the chemical potentials of the external leads to be introduced below. A schematic of the system, and the shape of the potential are displayed in Fig. 1.

The many-body Hamiltonian of the central system in terms of the field operators is

$$H_S = \int d^2r \psi^\dagger (r) \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{2m^*} + V(r) \right\} \psi(r) + H_{EM} + H_{Coul}$$

$$+ H_Z - \frac{1}{c} \int d^2r \, j(r) \cdot \mathbf{A}_S - \frac{e}{2m^* c^2} \int d^2r \, \rho(r) A_r^2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\pi = \left( \mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}_{ext} \right). \quad (3)$$

We assume GaAs parameters for the electron system with $\kappa_c = 12.4$, $m^* = 0.067 m_e$, and $g^* = -0.44$. The cavity-photon field operator, in terms of the creation and annihilation operators, in a stacked notation is expressed as

$$\mathbf{A}_S(r) = \left( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \mathbf{A} \{ a + a^\dagger \} \left( \cos \left( \frac{\pi y}{\pi c} \right) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{d_c} \right) \right), \quad (4)$$

for the $TE_{011}$ ($x$-polarization) and $TE_{101}$ ($y$-polarization) modes, respectively. The strength of the vector potential, $\mathbf{A}_S$, is determined by the coupling constant $g_{EM} = cA_0w_0/\hbar$, leaving a dimensionless polarization tensor

$$g_{ij}^k = \frac{\Delta w}{2\hbar} \{ \langle \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \cdot \pi | j \rangle + h.c. \}, \quad (5)$$

where $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ are single-electron states of the short two-dimensional quantum wire, $k = x, y$. (Latin indices are used for the single-electron states, and Greek for
the many body states to be described below). In the far-infrared regime, with photon energy in the range 0.7-2.0 meV, the characteristic lengths of the photon cavity are much larger than the size of the electronic system, $L_e$, and we approximate the cosines with 1 in the center of the cavity. The Hamiltonian of the single cavity-photon mode is $H_{EM} = \hbar \omega a^\dagger a$, and for the Coulomb interaction we use

$$H_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r d^2r' \psi^\dagger(r) \psi^\dagger(r') V_{\text{Coul}}(r-r') \psi(r) \psi(r'),$$

with a spatial dependent Coulomb kernel

$$V_{\text{Coul}}(r-r') = \frac{e^2}{\kappa_c \sqrt{|r-r'|^2 + \eta_c^2}},$$

and a small regularizing parameter $\eta_c/a_w = 3 \times 10^{-7}$.

The second term in the second line of Hamiltonian for the central system is the paramagnetic electron-photon interaction, and the third term is the diamagnetic electron-photon interaction proportional to the integral of $A^2_e$ and the electron charge density $\rho$. The external homogeneous magnetic field $B = \nabla \times A_{\text{ext}}$ is set to 0.1 T in order to break all spin degeneracies. The corresponding Zeeman splitting is described by $H_Z$. The charge and charge-current density operators are

$$\rho = -e \psi^\dagger \psi, \quad j = -\frac{e}{2m^*} \left\{ \psi^\dagger (\pi \psi) + (\pi^* \psi^\dagger) \psi \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The external magnetic field $B$ and the parabolic confinement energy of the central system $\hbar \Omega_0$ lead together, with the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c = ((eB)/(m^*c))$, to an effective characteristic frequency $\Omega_w = (\omega_c^2 + \Omega_0^2)^{1/2}$ and an effective magnetic length $a_w = (\hbar/(m^*\Omega_w))^{1/2}$. The characteristic length for our parameters is $a_w = 23.8$ nm for $B = 0.1$ T.

The equilibrium properties of the closed system are found by diagonalizing its Hamiltonian stepwise in large bases. First, neglecting the cavity-photon interactions, by using a Fock space built from the noninteracting many-electron states of the system, $|\mu\rangle$, to obtain the spectrum and states, $|\mu\rangle$, of the Coulomb interacting electrons. We use the 36 lowest in energy single-electron states to build the noninteracting many-electron states with up to 3 electrons. The number of two- and three-electron states is selected according to their energy such that we have all states with energy up to 8 meV. The spin of the electrons is included in this construction, and the energy threshold is set to cover states well above the bias window defined by the external leads. Second, by building a Fock space as a tensor product of the lowest in energy 120 Coulomb interacting states and the lowest 16 eigenstates of the photon number operator, the spectrum and properties of the photon-dressed electron states, $|\tilde{\mu}\rangle$, are calculated.

### III. Equilibrium Properties of the Closed Central System

In order to study the transport of electrons through a two-dimensional nano-scale electronic system with parallel quantum dots placed in a photon cavity in the regime of ultra strong electron-photon coupling we concentrate on two cases. The first case is the study of the transport through the one-electron ground state with the cavity-photon field close to a resonance with the ground state and the first excitation thereof. We will use the knowledge gathered from this case to study the more complex second case of the transport through the interacting 2-electron ground state coupled to the first excitation thereof by a nearly resonant cavity photon field.

Before engaging in this journey we need to explore special properties of the closed central system brought around by the geometry of the system and the three interactions accounted for in the model.

### IV. Resonance with the Two Lowest One-Electron States

The many-body energy spectrum for the central system as a function of the plunger gate voltage $V_p$ for the case of $y$-polarized cavity photons is displayed in the upper panel of Fig. 2 with a color coding to indicate the electron content of each state. The eigenstates of the closed system can only contain an integer number of electrons. In our case it is in the range from 0 to 3. The fully interacting states of the central system, the cavity-photon dressed electron states, are noted by $|\tilde{\mu}\rangle$, with $\mu$ an integer (quantum number) assigned from 1 to $N_{\text{mes}} = 120$ in a numerical ascending order determined by the energy of the state. As the upper panel of Fig. 2 shows, the state number, $\mu$, thus depends on the plunger gate voltage $V_p$ and the photon energy $E_{EM} = \hbar \omega$. The cavity-photon field has energy $\hbar \omega = 0.72$ meV, very close to the energy difference between both spin components of the one-electron ground state ($|\tilde{3}\rangle$ and $|\tilde{4}\rangle$) and the first excitation thereof. A splitting of the first excitation of the one-electron state is seen in the upper panel of Fig. 2 (seen as states $|\tilde{6}\rangle$ - $|\tilde{9}\rangle$), and when viewed as a function of the photon energy $\hbar \omega$ in the lower panel of Fig. 2 an anticrossing with an exchange of the photon content of the states appears indicating a typical Rabi-splitting. (The Zeeman spin splitting is not clearly visible, but can be deduced from the resulting symbols not being square shape when overlapping with a slight off-set). The parameters selected for the parallel double quantum dots here lead to the one-electron wavefunction of the first excited state to be an even function in the $x$-direction with no node, but uneven in the $y$-direction, with a nodal line situated between the dots. First order perturbation calculation shows that the paramagnetic electron-photon interaction, which also can be expressed as proportional to the integral of $r \cdot E$, where $E$ is the electric field compo-
FIG. 2. The many-body energy spectrum for the closed central system as function of the plunger gate voltage $V_g$ for a $\gamma$-polarized cavity photon field (upper panel), $\hbar\omega = 0.72$ meV. The paramagnetic Rabi-splitting of the two spin components of the first excitation of the one-electron ground state as a function of the photon energy $E_{EM} = \hbar\omega$ for $V_g = 2.0$ mV (lower panel). $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV. The horizontal yellow lines represent the chemical potentials of the left lead $\mu_L = 1.10$ meV, and the right lead $\mu_L = 1.96$ meV to be introduced below.

FIG. 3. The many-body energy spectrum for the closed central system as function of the plunger gate voltage $V_g$ for a $x$-polarized cavity photon field (upper panel), $\hbar\omega = 0.72$ meV. The diamagnetic Rabi-splitting of the two spin components of the first excitation of the one-electron ground state as a function of the photon energy $E_{EM} = \hbar\omega$ for $V_g = 2.0$ mV (lower panel). $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV. The horizontal yellow lines represent the chemical potentials of the left lead $\mu_L = 1.10$ meV, and the right lead $\mu_L = 1.96$ meV to be introduced below.

nent of the cavity field, couples the one-electron ground state with its lowest lying excitation. This is reminiscent of a dipole transition between the 1S and the 2P states of a Hydrogen atom promoted by a time varying spatially constant electric field.

This analysis thus predicts that there is no Rabi-splitting expected for the same states (the two spin components of the one-electron ground state) for the case of an $x$-polarized cavity-photon field. States with the same parity along the $x$-axis can not be dipole coupled by the paramagnetic electron-photon interaction. The first impression of the upper panel of Fig. 2 does not hint at any splitting, but a closer analysis indicates a very weak splitting displayed in the lower panel of Fig. 2. It is weak, as the splitting is of the same order of magnitude as the Zeeman spin splitting in GaAs at $B = 0.1$ T. Due to the symmetry properties of the wavefunctions it is clear that this splitting is a Rabi-splitting promoted by the diamagnetic electron-photon interaction, proportional to the integral of $\rho A^2$. This interaction is neglected in the original Jaynes-Cumming model, but recently Malekakhlagh and Türeci show that in strongly coupled circuit-QED systems a corresponding term should be considered. In atomic systems the weak effects of the diamagnetic interaction have been measured in the hyperfine diamagnetic shift of the ground state of $^9\text{Be}^+$.\textsuperscript{21}

V. RESONANCE WITH THE TWO LOWEST ENERGY TWO-ELECTRON STATES

The structure of the lowest two-electron states of the system is more complex. With cavity photons of energy $\hbar\omega = 2.0$ meV there is a near resonance between the photons and the two lowest spin-singlet two-electron states. In Fig. 4 we see the two-electron spin-singlet
ground state, $|0\rangle$, and the lowest lying triplet states, $|14\rangle$, $|15\rangle$, and $|16\rangle$. The noninteger photon content of the two-electron spin-singlet states $|23\rangle$ and $|24\rangle$ and their energy makes them strong candidates for the Rabi-split states resulting from the interaction of the first photon replica of the two-electron ground state and the first excitation thereof. State $|25\rangle$ is a two-electron singlet with higher charge distribution in the contact areas of the short quantum wire, rather than in the quantum dots. States $|26\rangle$, $|27\rangle$, and $|28\rangle$ are the corresponding triplet states. None of the last mentioned 4 states have appreciable photon content.

The horizontal yellow lines represent the chemical potentials of the left lead $\mu_L = 3.40$ meV, the right lead $\mu_R = 1.40$ meV, $\hbar\omega = 2.00$ meV, and $g_{EM} = 0005$ meV. The squares indicate the chemical potentials of the left lead $\mu_L = 3.40$ meV, and the right lead $\mu_R = 1.40$ meV. The energy $E_\mu$ of each state $|\mu\rangle$, the electron number (labeled with $e$), and the $z$-component of the spin ($S_z$). The results for the $x$-polarized cavity photons, but otherwise corresponding to the case presented in Fig. 6 are displayed in Fig. 5. Again, like for the case of one-electron states, we observe a larger Rabi-splitting for the $y$-polarization. Symmetry arguments for the states again point to the diamagnetic electron-photon as the main cause for the Rabi-splitting for the case of $x$-polarized cavity photons. The two-electron states, nearly resonantly coupled by the cavity-photon field, are spin-singlet states and thus show no spin splitting, and higher electron density increases the strength of the diamagnetic electron-photon interaction compared to the case of one electron present in the system.

VI. TRANSPORT

At the time point $t = 0$ the central system is opened by coupling it to the left (L) and the right (R) external leads acting like electron reservoirs with chemical potentials $\mu_L$ and $\mu_R$. The external semi-infinite leads are parabolically confined in the $y$-direction perpendicular

![Graph showing energy spectrum](image-url)
FIG. 6. The many-body energy spectrum for the closed central system as function of the plunger gate voltage $V_g$ for a $x$-polarized cavity photon field (upper panel), $h\omega = 2.00$ meV. The Rabi-splitting of the first excitation of the two-electron ground state for $V_g = 0.5$ meV (lower panel), $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV. The horizontal yellow lines represent the chemical potentials of the left lead $\mu_L = 1.10$ meV, and the right lead $\mu_L = 3.40$ meV to be introduced below. In the upper panel the horizontal green dots represent purely photonic states with no electron component, but in the lower panel the state with horizontal green dots has two electrons and no photon content.

to the transport and have a hard wall potential at the end. The leads have the same characteristic confinement energy as the central system, $\hbar \Omega_0$, and are subjected to the same external perpendicular magnetic field, B. The geometry and properties of the external leads result in a continuous single-electron energy spectrum with a sub-band structure characteristic for quasi-one dimensional electron systems.\textsuperscript{32} The coupling to the leads depends on the geometry of the wavefunctions of the single-electron states in the “contact area” of the leads and the central system defined to extend approximately $a_w$ into each subsystem. In terms of creation and annihilation operators of single-electron states in the leads ($c_{ql}^\dagger$ and $c_{ql}$) and the central system ($d_{i}^\dagger$ and $d_{i}$) the coupling Hamiltonian

\[ H_T(t) = \sum_{i,l} dq \left\{ T_{ql}^i c_{ql}^\dagger d_i + (T_{ql}^i)^* c_{ql} d_i^\dagger \right\}, \quad (9) \]

where the index $q$ stands for the combined continuous lead momentum quantum number and a discrete sub-band index $n_l$, $i$ labels the single-electron states in the central system, and $T_{ql}^i$ is the state-dependent coupling tensor with $l = \{L, R\}$. The temperature of the electron reservoirs in the leads is $T = 0.5$ K.

We use a formalism of Nakajima\textsuperscript{23} and Zwanzig\textsuperscript{24} in which the dynamics of the whole system is projected on the central system leading to a generalized master equation (GME)\textsuperscript{25}

\[ \partial_t \rho_S(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_S, \rho_S(t)] - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' K[t,t';\rho_S(t')] \quad (10) \]

for the reduced density operator of the central system describing statistical properties of the central system under influence of the external leads. The reduced density operator is defined by tracing out variables of the leads $\rho_S(t) = \text{Tr}_{LR} \{ \rho_T(t) \}$. Besides the external leads, at $t = 0$ the central system is as well coupled to a photon reservoir with the Markovian terms

\[ -\frac{\kappa}{2} (\bar{n}_R + 1) \left\{ 2a \rho_s a^\dagger - a \rho_s a^\dagger a \rho_s - \rho_s a^\dagger a \right\} \]

\[ -\frac{\kappa}{2} (\bar{n}_R) \left\{ 2a^\dagger \rho_s a - a^\dagger a \rho_s - \rho_s a^\dagger a \right\} \quad (11) \]

added to the master equation in the many-body Fock space, where $\kappa$ is the cavity photon decay constant. As we will investigate possible vacuum radiation from the system, we set the mean number of photons of the reservoir $\bar{n}_R = 0$ in order to avoid influx of photons from it.

For the time evolution we use a Markovian master equation in Liouville space of transitions\textsuperscript{34,26,27} derived from a non-Markovian Nakajima-Zwanzig equation\textsuperscript{23,24} that includes the leads-central system coupling (10) up to second order in its integral kernel.\textsuperscript{18,22} As there are usually many available radiative transitions in the system, and not all in resonance with the photon field, we do not use the rotating wave approximation for the electron-photon interaction in the central system.

We use the Markovian master equation\textsuperscript{13,28} to obtain the long-time evolution and the steady state properties of the central system, weakly coupled to the leads. We investigate the transport through the one- and two-electron ground states of the central system under two different conditions: (i) With the respective ground state situated in a narrow bias window, much smaller than the photon energy; (ii) with the ground state situated in a bias window that is large enough to include its first photon replica, i.e. the photon energy is smaller than the bias window. In the former case, energy of an incoming electron is not sufficient to generate a cavity photon via standard electroluminescence, but in the latter one the energy is sufficient for that process.\textsuperscript{2}}
We use the Fourier spectrum of the emitted cavity radiation of the system in its steady state to build its spectral density as

\[
S(E) = \frac{\kappa}{\pi} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\hbar} e^{-iE\tau/\hbar} \{ \{X(\tau)X(0)\} \} \right|, \tag{12}
\]

where \( X = a + a^\dagger \), and the time point \( \tau = 0 \) is now considered to mark any time after the onset of the steady state.\(^\text{7,8,29}\) According to the quantum regression theorem valid for a Markovian system weakly coupled to a reservoir the equation of motion for a two-time correlation function, as is in the integrand of Eq.\(^\text{(12)}\), is of the same type as the master equation for the reduced density operator of the system, but for an effective density operator. Here, the effective density operator is

\[
\chi(\tau) = \text{Tr}_{\text{res}} \left\{ e^{-iH\tau/\hbar} X \rho_T(0) e^{iH\tau/\hbar} \right\}, \tag{13}
\]

with \( H \) the Hamiltonian of the total system, \( \rho_T \) its density operator, and \( \text{Tr}_{\text{res}} \) the trace operator with respect to the variables of the reservoir. In the Liouville space the solution of the Master equation is

\[
\text{vec}(\chi(\tau)) = \{ \mathcal{U} \{ \exp (\mathcal{L}_{\text{diag}} \tau) \} \mathcal{V} \} \text{vec}(\chi(0)) \tag{14}
\]

and the two-time average or the correlation function becomes

\[
\langle X(\tau)X(0) \rangle = \text{Tr}_{S} \{ X(0)\chi(\tau) \}. \tag{15}
\]

Here, \( \mathcal{L} \) is an approximation of the Liouville operator of the total system, \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{diag}} \) is the complex diagonal matrix corresponding to it in the Liouville space of transitions, and \( \mathcal{U} \) is the matrix of its left eigenvectors, and \( \mathcal{V} \) the matrix of its right eigenvectors.\(^\text{13,14}\) \( \text{Tr}_{S} \) is the trace operation with respect to the state space of the central system.

### A. Electroluminescence due to transport through the one-electron ground state

In Fig.\(^\text{7}\) the mean value of the photon number operator, \( N_\gamma = \langle a^\dagger a \rangle \), is shown as a function of time for the initially empty system (in state \( |3\rangle \)) in case of a small bias window \( \Delta\mu = 0.3 \text{ meV} \) (\( \mu_L = 1.4 \text{ meV} \) and \( \mu_R = 1.1 \text{ meV} \)) and plunger gate voltage \( V_\gamma = 2.0 \text{ mV} \). Here, only the two spin components of the one-electron ground state are inside the bias window and the photon energy \( \hbar \omega = 0.72 \text{ meV} \) is larger than the bias window \( \Delta\mu \). The initial rise in \( N_\gamma \) for \( t < 10 \text{ ns} \) reflects the charging of the weakly coupled system, and the value for later time is, to the largest extent, determined by the steady-state charge in the system and the tiny photon component present in the one-electron ground state due to the electron-photon coupling, especially for the case of \( x \)-polarized photon field seen in the lower panel of Fig.\(^\text{7}\) where \( N_\gamma \) is independent of the photon-cavity decay rate \( \kappa \). Clearly, the \( y \)-polarized photon field couples stronger to the one electron in the central system as is seen in the upper panel of Fig.\(^\text{7}\). That is in accordance with the normalized coupling constant \( \eta \) being larger as the respective Rabi-splitting seen in Fig.\(^\text{2}\) is larger in this case. Moreover, a slight enhancement of \( N_\gamma \) is visible for larger values of the photon-cavity decay rate \( \kappa \). A close inspection of the state occupancy in the steady state, surprisingly, reveals that for the larger \( \kappa \) the first photon replicas of the one-electron ground state gain a slight occupation for the \( y \)-polarized photon field, but not for the \( x \)-polarization. In addition, the one-photon state, \( |3\rangle \) also acquires a slight occupation. These states have a higher photon number resulting in a higher overall \( N_\gamma \). This effect has to be termed a purely “dynamic” effect as it is not easily predictable from the properties of the isolated central system.

The nonlinear increase of the mean photon number \( N_\gamma \) is displayed in Fig.\(^\text{8}\) where again the stronger effective coupling is evident for the \( y \)-polarized photon field. Note
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again that here only the two spin components of the one-electron ground state are inside the narrow bias window. Extension of the chemical potential of the left lead, $\mu_L$, to just above the first photon replica of the one-electron ground state to the value of 1.96 meV radically changes the situation as is displayed in Fig. 9. Now the mean photon value, $N_\gamma$, assumes considerable values for both the $y$-polarized cavity photon (upper panel of Fig. 9) and $x$-polarization thereof (the lower panel). As could be expected, $N_\gamma$ increases with lower cavity-decay rate $\kappa$ due to their accumulation in the central system.

The steady-state occupation of the states of the central system is shown in Fig. 10 for both polarizations, the smaller bias window with $\Delta\mu = 0.3$ (upper panel), and the larger bias with $\Delta\mu = 0.86$ meV (lower panel). The upper panel of Fig. 10 indicates that only the vacuum, $|1\rangle$, and both spin components of the one-electron ground state, $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$, acquire considerable occupation, whereas, the lower panel shows considerable occupation of states above the bias window (states with a number higher than 9).

The lower panel of Fig. 10 helps to understand the dependence of the photon accumulation on the polarization seen in Fig. 9. The Rabi-split states for the $y$-polarization (the first photon replicas of the two spin states of the one-electron ground state), $|6\rangle$, $|7\rangle$, $|8\rangle$, and $|9\rangle$ all have a slight occupancy visible, but not in the upper panel. The steady-state occupation for the narrow bias window seen in the upper panel of Fig. 10 shows no visible difference between the two polarization directions of the photon field, but the difference for the broad bias window, seen in the lower panel, is caused by the interplay of the geometry and the electron-photon interactions. In the $x$-polarization the selection rules favor the diamagnetic part of the interaction, while in the $y$-polarization the paramagnetic interaction dominates.

In order to analyze further the mixture of the stan-
standard polaritonic and the ground state electroluminescence present in the system for the plunger gate voltage $V_g = 2.0$ mV and the photon energy $\hbar \omega = 0.72$ meV, we present in Fig. 11 the Fourier spectrum of the emitted cavity radiation of the system in its steady state, and in Fig. 12 the partial current through the relevant transport states.

The upper panel of Fig. 11 reveals for the $y$-polarized cavity photon, already at $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV, the well known Mollow triplet with the central peak representing the emission of the cavity at the fundamental mode, $\hbar \omega = 0.72$ meV, and the two satellites representing emission at the two Rabi-shifted frequencies. For the $x$-polarization of the cavity field, only a hint of splitting is seen for $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV in the upper panel of Fig. 11 but it becomes clearer at $g_{EM} = 0.1$ meV seen in the lower panel. A fully developed two-peak structure is found at $g_{EM} = 0.2$ meV (not displayed here) with the higher energy peak located at the fundamental energy $\hbar \omega = 0.72$ meV. This is in accordance with the small Rabi splitting for the $x$-polarized cavity field seen in Fig. 5 and the fact that it is produced by the diamagnetic electron-photon interaction.

Fig. 12 for the partial currents into and from the central system for the case of a $y$-polarized photon field shows that for the narrow bias window (the upper panel) all the current goes through the two spin components of the one-electron ground state, $|3\rangle$ and $|4\rangle$. Even for the broad bias, when the first photon replicas of these two states are in the bias window, the lower panel of Fig. 12 indicates that this is still to a large extent true, with the higher states contributing only slightly to the transport current.

A close inspection of the partial currents for the broad bias window in the steady state reveals that the partial currents from the central system into the right lead from the two spin components of the one-electron ground state are slightly higher than the left partial currents into these states indicating an electromagnetic transition active from higher states within the bias window. The spectral density of the emitted cavity emission for the broad bias window, including the one-electron and the first photon replicas thereof, displayed in Fig. 13 shows the same basic structure as the spectral density for the narrow bias window in Fig. 11 with small additional satellite peaks appearing for the $y$-polarized cavity field.

The situation for the $x$-polarized photon field (not displayed here) shows the same picture as is reflected for the $y$-polarized photon field in Fig. 12 regarding which states carry the transport current.
FIG. 12. The partial current from the left lead (L) into state $|\mu\rangle$, and the partial current from state $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$ into the right lead (R) as function of time for the narrow bias window, $\Delta \mu = 0.3$ meV, (upper panel), and the broad bias window, $\Delta \mu = 0.86$ meV, (lower) panel. $V_g = 2.0$ mV, $\hbar \omega = 0.72$ meV, $g_{\text{EM}} = 0.05$ meV, and $\kappa = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ meV. $y$-polarized cavity photon field.

B. Electroluminescence due to transport through the two-electron ground state

It is more challenging to determine the vacuum contribution to the radiation for the transport through the two-electron ground state since the current through it is low in the weak coupling serial tunneling regime for the leads and the central system, and as the first photon replica of the two-electron ground state lies relatively high in the many-body energy spectrum due to the Coulomb repulsion of the electrons. We select a bias window with the two-electron singlet ground state, $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$, just above $\mu_R$, by selecting the plunger-gate voltage $V_g = 0.5$ mV, and $\mu_R = 1.1$ meV. A narrow bias window with only the two-electron state in it is defined with $\mu_L = 1.4$ meV, and thus $\Delta \mu = 0.3$ meV. On the other hand, a broad bias window with the first photon replica of the two-electron ground state within it in resonance with the first excitation of the two-electron ground state creating the Rabi-split states, $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$, by selecting $\mu_L = 3.4$ meV, and photon energy $\hbar \omega = 2.0$ meV.

The width of the resulting bias window is then $\Delta \mu = 2.3$ meV.

We start by analyzing the situation for a $y$-polarized cavity-photon field. The time evolution of the photon mean value, $N_y$, is displayed in the upper panel of Fig. 14 and the same information is seen in the lower panel of Fig. 14 but on a logarithmic scale. We notice that it takes the system a longer time to reach the steady state, than for the case of transport through the one-electron ground state. Furthermore, the transition to the steady state is marked by radiative processes, though to a lesser extent for the narrow bias window. For the narrow bias window, $\Delta \mu = 0.3$ meV, the photon mean value, $N_y$, is vanishingly small in the steady state, but for the broader bias window, $\Delta \mu = 2.3$ meV, a photon accumulation is seen for the slower cavity decay constant, $\kappa = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ meV. The current through the central system is too low to cause a considerable accumulation of photons for the higher decay constant, $\kappa = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ meV.

Similar results for the time evolution of $N_y$ are obtained for the $x$-polarized cavity-photon field, seen in Fig. 15 except for the fact that now the radiative relaxation processes bringing the system close to the steady state start earlier and are stronger for the narrow bias window than for the case of a $y$-polarized photon field. Again, a considerable accumulation of photons is only attained for the slower cavity decay constant.

The steady-state statistical occupation of the many-body states of the central system for the transport with the two-electron ground state in the bias window is presented in Fig. 16. The narrow bias window, $\Delta \mu = 0.3$ meV, only contains the two-electron ground state $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$, but the broad bias window, $\Delta \mu = 2.3$ meV, contains all states from $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$ to $|\bar{\mu}\rangle$. We notice again that for the narrow bias window, the upper panel of Fig. 16 contains only states in the window, below it, and just above it gain any occu-
FIG. 14. The mean photon number $N_y$ as a function of time for the central system initially in its vacuum state $|3\rangle$ with $y$-polarized cavity-photon field (upper panel), and on a logarithmic scale (lower panel). $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV, $V_g = 0.5$ meV, $\hbar \omega = 2.00$ meV, $\mu_R = 1.10$ meV, and $\mu_L = 1.4$ meV or 3.4 meV. The coupling to the photon reservoir, the cavity decay constant $\kappa$ is measured in meV.

FIG. 15. The mean photon number $N_y$ as a function of time for the central system initially in its vacuum state $|3\rangle$ for $x$-polarized cavity-photon field (upper panel), and on a logarithmic scale (lower panel). $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV, $V_g = 0.5$ meV, $\hbar \omega = 2.00$ meV, $\mu_R = 1.10$ meV, and $\mu_L = 1.40$ meV or 3.4 meV. The coupling to the photon reservoir, the cavity decay constant $\kappa$ is measured in meV.

The lower panel of Fig. 16 indicates that in the steady state the states with highest occupation within the bias window are the two-electron states, including the singlet ground state $|6\rangle$, the lowest triplet states $|14\rangle - |16\rangle$, and the first photon replicas of all these states.

Analysis of the partial current for the narrow bias window, $\Delta \mu = 0.3$ meV, with only the two-electron ground state ($V_g = 2.0$ mV) within it shows only a very tiny current through the state $|6\rangle$ for $\kappa = 10^{-6}$ meV, i.e. $I_6^{L,R} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ nA for the $x$-polarized photon field and $I_6^{L,R} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ nA for the $y$-polarized photon field at $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV. The mean value of photons in the two-electron ground state is $3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ for the $x$-polarized field and $3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for the $y$-polarized field, in accordance with the information displayed in the lower panel of Figs. 14 and 15. The generation of vacuum photons from the electron transport through the two-electron ground state is thus very small in our model.

The conventional electroluminescence of the two-electron states is enhanced by extending the bias window.
FIG. 16. The steady-state occupation of the lowest 48 many-body states $|\vec{\mu}\rangle$ for $V_g = 0.5$ mV, $\mu_R = 1.10$ meV, and $\mu_L = 1.40$ meV (upper panel), and $\mu_L = 3.40$ meV (lower panel). The bias window includes states $|6\rangle$ - $|28\rangle$. $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV, and $\kappa = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ meV. The properties of the lowest 32 states are presented in Fig. 14.

The partial currents are shown in Fig. 17 for $\kappa = 10^{-6}$ meV and an $y$-polarized cavity field. Notably, the current to $\Delta \mu = 2.3$ meV as is already evident from Figs. 14-16. The partial currents are shown in Fig. 17 for $\kappa = 10^{-6}$ meV and an $y$-polarized cavity field. Notably, the current can be recovered by changing the $y$-axis of the graph to a logarithmic scale.

VII. DISCUSSION

We have considered an anisotropic two-dimensional electron system of two parallel quantum dots embedded in a short quantum wire placed in the middle of a rectangular photon cavity. We have shown that it is possible to select a Rabi-splitting either caused by the para- or the diamagnetic electron-photon interactions by the choice of the cavity-photon polarization. We find that both interactions lead to conventional, or ground-state electroluminescence (GSE), when current is driven through the system after coupling it to external leads with a large or small bias difference, respectively. Furthermore, we observe that even though the Rabi-splitting can be much smaller for the case of the $x$-polarization, enhancing the effects of the diamagnetic interaction, the electroluminescence is of similar order in both cases.

As expected the electroluminescence is larger for the...
transport through the one-electron ground state than for the transport through the two-electron ground state, due to the low current through it in our sequential tunneling approach and the geometrical details of the central system. In addition, the large photon energy needed to couple the first photon replica and the first excitation interaction, which we accomplish through the use of exact nonvanishing expectation value of the photon number operator in the one-electron ground state well before \( \eta \approx 1 \). Second, special attention has to be paid to the geometry of a particular system within which the electron-cavity photon interactions are considered on one hand, and of the importance of the diamagnetic electron-photon interaction, on the other hand.

In atomic systems not placed in a photon cavity the diamagnetic electron-photon interactions only produces small corrections to most spectroscopic quantities measurable under “normal” conditions. Our model calculations indicate that an anisotropic solid state system like double parallel quantum dots may be an ideal experimental system to separate the effects of these two types of interactions if they are placed in a photon cavity where the polarization of the fundamental mode can be chosen.
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