1	Fetal descent in nulliparous women assessed by ultrasound: a longitudinal study
2	
3	
4	Dr Hulda HJARTARDOTTIR, MD <sup>1,2</sup> , Ms Sigrun H. LUND <sup>3</sup> , PhD, Dr Sigurlaug
5	BENEDIKTSDOTTIR <sup>1,2</sup> , MD, Dr Reynir T. GEIRSSON <sup>1,2</sup> , MD, PhD, Dr Torbjørn M.
6 7	EGGEBØ <sup>4,5,6</sup> , MD, PhD
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik,
9	Iceland
10	<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland
11	<sup>3</sup> deCODE genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland
12 13	<sup>4</sup> National Center for Fetal Medicine, St. Olavs hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway
14	<sup>5</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger,
15	Norway
16	<sup>6</sup> Institute of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and
17	Technology, Trondheim, Norway
18	
19	
20	
21	Disclosure statement: The authors report no conflict of interest
22 23	Funding information: The study was supported by grant no. 185435-052 from the Icelandic
24	Centre for Research.
25	
26	
27	Corresponding author:
28	Hulda Hjartardottir
29	huldahja@landspitali.is
30	work phone: +3545433302
31	mobile phone: +3548245647
32	Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik, Iceland
33	
34	Word count:
35	Abstract: 465 words
36	Main text: 3572 words

37	Condensation
38	Transperineal ultrasound was used to follow fetal head descent longitudinally during the
39	active phase in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor at term.
40 41	Short Title
42	Fetal head descent in normal nulliparous women
43 44	AJOG at a Glance
45	A. Why was the study conducted?
46	• Clinical methods for assessing fetal head station are subjective and have
47	limited accuracy
48	• Ultrasound is an objective means for assessing fetal head station
49	• To describe fetal head descent in nulliparous women with spontaneous labor
50	onset
51	B. What are the key findings?
52	• Ultrasound assessed fetal stations at both the first and last examination were
53	significantly associated with delivery mode
54	• Both HPD of 30 mm and AoP of 125° independently predicted delivery in 3.0
55	hours (95% CI 2.5-3.8 and 2.4-3.7 hours, respectively)
56	• Fetal head station remained unchanged early in the active phase of labor, but
57	showed a pattern of rapid descent during the last four hours of labor,
58	regardless of initial cervical dilatation or occiput position
59	C. What does this study add to what is already known?
60	• Ultrasound patterns for fetal head descent in nulliparous women were
61	described

62	• Head-perineum distance and angle of progression predicted the remaining
63	time to delivery
64	• Longitudinally measured head-perineum distance and angle of progression
65	independently produced similar labor patterns
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	

71

# 72 Structured Abstract

73

#### 74 Background

75 Ultrasound offers objective and reproducible methods to measure fetal head station. Before 76 these methods can be applied to assess labor progress, fetal head descent needs to be 77 evaluated longitudinally in well-defined populations and compared to existing data derived 78 from clinical examinations.

#### 79 **Objective**

We aimed to use ultrasound measurements to describe fetal head descent longitudinally as
labor progressed through the active phase in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of
labor.

#### 83 Study Design

84 This was a single center, prospective cohort study at Landspitali University Hospital,

85 Reykjavik, Iceland, from January 2016 to April 2018. Nulliparous women with a single fetus 86 in cephalic presentation, spontaneous labor onset at gestational age  $\geq 37$  weeks were eligible. 87 Inclusion occurred on admission for women with an established active phase of labor or at 88 the start of the active phase in women admitted in the latent phase. Active phase was defined 89 as an effaced cervix dilated at least four cm in women with regular contractions. According 90 to clinical protocol vaginal examinations were done at entry and subsequently through labor, 91 paired each time with a transperineal ultrasound examination by a separate examiner, both 92 examiners being blinded to the other's results. Measurements used to assess fetal head station 93 were head-perineum distance and angle of progression. Cervical dilatation was examined 94 clinically.

#### 95 **Results**

96 The study population comprised 99 women. Labor patterns for head-perineum distance, angle
97 of progression and cervical dilatation differentiated into 75 spontaneous, 16 instrumental

98 vaginal and eight cesarean deliveries are presented in the figure. At inclusion cervix was 99 dilated four cm in 26, five cm in 30 and  $\geq$  six cm in 43 women. One cesarean and one ventouse delivery were performed for fetal distress, the remaining due to failure to progress. 100 101 The total number of examinations was 345, on average 3.6 per woman. Ultrasound measured 102 station both at the first and last examination were associated with delivery mode and 103 remaining time in labor. In spontaneous deliveries rapid head descent started around four 104 hours before birth, the descent being more gradual in instrumental deliveries and absent in 105 cesarean deliveries (Figure). Head-perineum distance of 30 mm and angle of progression of 106 125° separately predicted delivery in 3.0 hours (95% CI for 2.5-3.8 hours and 2.4-3.7 hours 107 respectively) in women delivering vaginally. Head-perineum distance and angle of 108 progression are independent methods, but gave similar mirror image patterns. The fetal head 109 station at the first examination was highest for fetuses in occiput posterior position, but the 110 pattern of rapid descent was similar for all initial positions in spontaneously delivering 111 women. Oxytocin augmentation was used in 41% of women; in these labors slower descent 112 was noted. Descent was only slightly slower in the 62% of women with epidural analgesia. A 113 non-linear relationship was observed between station and dilatation.

### 114 Conclusions

115 We have created ultrasound measured descent patterns for nulliparous women in spontaneous

116 labor. The patterns resemble previously published patterns based on clinical vaginal

examination. Ultrasound measured station was associated with delivery mode and remainingtime in labor.

119

120 Abbreviations: OA, occiput anterior; OP, occiput posterior; LOT, left occiput transverse;

121 ROT, right occiput transverse; HPD, head perineum distance; AoP, angle of progression;

122 WHO, World Health Organization

123

124 **Key words**: Angle of progression, cesarean delivery, fetal head position, fetal head station,

125 head perineum distance, transabdominal ultrasound, transperineal ultrasound

126

#### 127 Introduction

128 Proper descent of the fetal head during labor is a prerequisite for vaginal delivery. How this 129 progress is followed and assessed is fraught with difficulty, as the landmarks on the fetal 130 head and in the pelvis can be hard to identify. Clinical vaginal examination is prone to 131 considerable subjectivity by the individual examiner. The spinal plane is not an actual 132 anatomic plane in the pelvis, but an imaginary plane with only two anatomic reference points, 133 the ischial spines,<sup>1</sup> which are moreover not in the pelvic midline where the station of the fetal 134 head is gauged. The leading bony reference point on the fetal head should be easier to 135 determine, but the presence of molding and caput succedaneum can make the examiner 136 erroneously consider the head to have descended to a lower level than actually true. Therefore, other methods have been suggested.<sup>2-5</sup> 137

Knowledge of fetal head descent during labor comes mainly from the classic series of studies by Friedman and coworkers in 1965,<sup>6-8</sup> describing the patterns of descent based on clinical digital estimation of the fetal head station in the pelvic cavity. This work was essential for the WHO partograph and WHO has until 2018 recommended the partograph with an alert line with one cm cervical dilatation/hour and an action line displaced four hours as suggested by Philpott et al.<sup>9-12</sup>

144 There has in recent years been a renewed focus on the progress of labor, revisiting the 145 classic Friedman dilatation and descent curves<sup>13-16</sup> in the light of changes in obstetric practice 146 and populations.<sup>17-20</sup> Less attention has been paid to descent of the fetal head than cervical 147 dilatation, although this was an integral part of the labor curves presented by Friedman and 148 his coworkers. Fetal station and position remain the qualities by which progress during the second stage are judged. Recent clinical studies of fetal head descent have been conducted to 149 compute mathematical models relating cervical dilatation to fetal head station.<sup>21-23</sup> The 150 151 patterns of descent from these studies were obtained and described using the accepted clinical 152 methods. Ultrasound has been suggested as more objective and accurate method in assessing fetal head station and having the potential to replace clinical methods.<sup>23-40</sup> The aim of this 153 study was to use ultrasound measurement methods to describe fetal head descent 154 155 longitudinally through the active phase in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor 156 at term.

157

#### 158 Materials and methods

159 We performed a prospective cohort study at Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavík, Iceland, from January 2016 to April 2018. The study population comprised 100 nulliparous 160 161 women with spontaneous onset of labor, a single fetus in cephalic presentation and pregnancy 162 length  $\geq$ 37 weeks included non-consecutively. The study population corresponded to the definition of Group 1 in the Robson ten-group classification system.<sup>41</sup> One woman withdrew 163 her consent and was excluded. All women received oral and written information about the 164 study on admission to the labor ward and written consent was obtained before inclusion. 165 166 Inclusion occurred on admission for women with an established active phase of labor or at the start of the active phase in women admitted in the latent phase. Active phase was defined 167 168 at the time of recruitment as a fully effaced cervix, dilated at least four centimeters (cm), in the presence of regular contractions in accordance with the actual WHO recommendations.<sup>9</sup>, 169 <sup>10</sup> All examinations were performed as paired clinical and ultrasound examinations 170

throughout labor. Two obstetricians trained in transperineal scanning did the ultrasound
examinations shortly before or after the clinical assessments (within 15 minutes). Cervical
dilatation was examined clinically. Ultrasound examiners and clinical staff were blinded to
each other's results. The ultrasound examiners were not involved in clinical decisions during
the labors.

176 The midwife caring for the woman performed a clinical examination at recruitment 177 and thereafter as clinically indicated in accordance with local hospital guidelines 178 recommending vaginal examinations at least every four hours. If cervical dilatation was not 179 satisfactory, crossing the WHO partogram action line, the first option to augment labor 180 progress was to rupture the membranes in case they were intact with reassessment after two 181 hours. On the diagnosis of slow progress with ruptured membranes, a low-dose oxytocin 182 infusion was used with reassessment after four hours. With no change in dilatation after a 183 period of four hours with adequate contractions cesarean delivery was considered. An 184 examination was also performed if the woman felt the urge to push, or at the midwife's 185 discretion. No upper limit for duration of the active phase existed at the hospital, but the 186 duration of the second stage for a nullipara should not be longer than four hours with or three 187 hours without epidural analgesia. The active pushing phase should not be longer than two 188 hours. Signs of fetal distress on CTG monitoring were investigated further with fetal scalp pH 189 or lactate samples.

During each examination the midwife judged the cervical dilatation in cm, and station using the scale of -5 to +5 cm above and below the ischial spines. All ultrasound examinations were performed by two experienced ultrasound examiners (HH and SB) and the findings recorded on a separate sheet of paper. A Voluson *i* ultrasound machine (GE Medical systems, Zipf, Austria) with a 3.5-7.5- MHz 3D curved multifrequency transabdominal transducer was used for both transabdominal and transperineal scans. 196 The fetal head descent was assessed by transperineal ultrasound. The measurements 197 obtained were head-perineum distance (HPD) and angle of progression (AoP). The HPD was 198 measured in the frontal plane (transverse plane related to perineum) as the shortest distance 199 from the transducer to the fetal skull (Figure 1 and Video-Clip 1). The soft tissue was compressed with the transducer until it met resistance against the pubic bone.<sup>28, 42</sup> The AoP 200 201 was measured in the sagittal plane as the angle between the longitudinal axis of the pubic 202 symphysis and a line from the inferior edge of the symphysis tangentially to the fetal head contour (Figure 2 and Video-Clip 2).<sup>43</sup> 203

204 Fetal head position was determined using both the transabdominal and the 205 transperineal approach. The transabdominal examination was preferred whenever reference 206 structures could be visualized. The position of the occiput was marked on a clock-face like 207 graph with half-hourly markings. Fetal head position was categorized as occiput anterior 208  $(OA; \ge 10 \text{ and } \le 2 \text{ o'clock})$ , left occiput transverse (LOT; >2 and <4 o'clock), occiput 209 posterior (OP;  $\geq$ 4 and  $\leq$ 8 o'clock) and right occiput transverse (ROT; >8 and <10 o'clock) positions, as described previously by Akmal et al.<sup>44, 45</sup> The fetal spine, orbits, midline 210 211 structures and choroid plexus were used to determine position. Epidural analgesia used at the 212 hospital consisted of intermittent doses of bupivacaine 2.5 mg/ml and sufentanil 5µg/ml. 213 The main objective of the study was to describe labor patterns for HPD and AoP in 214 nulliparous women and investigate whether they differed by delivery mode. Furthermore, to 215 build prediction models to estimate the time to delivery by HPD and AoP for women who 216 deliver vaginally.

All ultrasound measurements were done online in the labor room and stored on the ultrasound device. The results and summaries of the outcome of the labors were later transferred into a database using the REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the hospital.<sup>46</sup> The study was approved by the Landspitali Ethics Committee, reference no. 221 26/2015.

#### 222 Statistical analysis

223 To establish the labor patterns, the time of delivery was used as a fixed reference point. From 224 that point, time was calculated backwards. Labor curves, with 95% confidence intervals 225 shaded, were constructed with a 4<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial model for each of the measurement variables; cervical dilatation, HPD and AoP, for the whole group and also according to 226 227 delivery mode, i.e. spontaneous, instrumental and cesarean delivery. For spontaneous deliveries labor curves were constructed according to both epidural use and oxytocin 228 229 augmentation and stratified by fetal head position and cervical dilatation at the first 230 examination. We compared the HPD and AoP measurements to cervical dilatation and time 231 remaining to delivery for women delivering vaginally using a mixed effects model. For descriptive purposes we used an HPD measurement of 36 mm and an AoP of 116° as 232 233 representing the mid-pelvic or spinal plane, based on previously published studies.<sup>47, 48</sup> The Shapiro-Wilk test for normality was used for the AoP and HPD measurements. The AoP 234 235 measurements were not normally distributed so we estimated differences in median cervical 236 dilatation, AoP and HPD by mode of delivery with the Kruskal-Wallis test. The correlation 237 between HPD and AoP was estimated from Spearman's correlation coefficient and also the 238 correlation between clinical station and HPD and AoP respectively.

Data were analyzed with the statistical software package R Core Team (2018). R: A
language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
Vienna, Austria (URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/)</u>.

242

243 **Results** 

### 244 **Study population**

245 The final study population comprised 99 women, 75 had a spontaneous delivery, 15 were 246 delivered with vacuum extraction, one with forceps and eight with a cesarean delivery. At inclusion the cervix was dilated to four cm in 26, five cm in 30 and  $\geq$  six cm in 43 women. 247 248 Characteristics of the study population, differentiated by delivery mode, are given in Table 1. 249 The mean duration of the active phase of labor for women with spontaneous delivery was 8.4 250 (95% CI; 7.3-9.4) hours, 10.5 (95% CI; 8.3-12.7) hours for instrumental deliveries and 14.3 251 (95% CI; 9.7-18.8) hours in cases ending with a cesarean delivery. A total of 345 paired 252 examinations were done, varying from one to eight examinations for each woman depending 253 on the length of labor, on average 3.6 examinations. Two women were only examined once, 254 97 were examined at least twice, 66 three times, 49 four times, 24 five times, 15 six times and 255 three women had eight examinations. Six cesarean deliveries were performed due to arrest of 256 dilatation, one for arrest of descent in the second stage and one for fetal distress during the 257 second stage (after a prolonged first stage). Details of these labors are given in 258 Supplementary Table 1. One ventouse delivery was performed due to fetal distress, the other 259 instrumental deliveries were all performed after a prolonged second stage or arrest of descent.

#### 260 Labor patterns

261 Figure 3 is a scatterplot illustrating the variation and mean change in HPD, AoP and cervical 262 dilatation from inclusion to delivery. Figure 4 shows for the same data the pattern of descent 263 differentiated into spontaneous, instrumental and cesarean deliveries. The patterns of descent 264 show that the fetal head was on average stationed above the mid-pelvic plane, i.e. >36 mm 265 for HPD and <116° for AoP during the early stages of the active phase, but began to descend just before full cervical dilatation was reached. In spontaneous deliveries we observed a steep 266 and continuous descent represented by decreasing HPD measurements and increasing AoP 267 268 measurements. The descent began on average at 7 cm dilatation, around six hours before 269 birth and became more accelerated at around 8 cm dilatation, four hours before birth. A more

gradual descent was seen in the labor curves for instrumental vaginal deliveries and virtually
no descent in the cases ending with cesarean delivery. Individual descent curves for women
with a spontaneous delivery are shown in Supplementary Figure 1, and illustrate the large
individual variation.

The pattern of clinically assessed cervical dilatation shows a linear slope which was steepest for spontaneous deliveries and slightly less steep in the cases ending with instrumental vaginal deliveries (Figure 4). A similar slope tapering off and then stopping at a mean of 8 cm dilatation, around 4 hours before delivery, was seen in the cases ending with cesarean delivery. Individual dilatation curves are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

279 Both HPD and AoP measurements at the first and last examination showed a higher 280 fetal head station at the first and last measurement in women ending with an operative 281 delivery, and more pronounced in women needing cesarean delivery (Table 2). Mixed effects 282 models comparing cervical dilatation and the fetal station measurements of HPD and AoP in 283 women delivering vaginally showed that the relationship was not linear and that a 2nd degree 284 model had a better fit (p value for comparisons of 1st and 2nd degree models <0.001). At 8 285 cm dilatation the prediction for an HPD measurement was 40 mm (95% CI 39-42) and for AoP it was 106° (95% CI 104-108°). At full dilatation this model predicted the HPD to be 29 286 287 mm (95% CI 28-31mm) and the AoP to be 126° (95% CI 124-129°) (Supplementary Table 288 2).

Prediction of time remaining to delivery based on HPD and AoP values using mixed effect models showed that for women delivering vaginally an HPD measurement of 40 mm predicted delivery in 5.5. hours (95% CI 5.1-6.1 hours) and for AoP of 110° the corresponding values were 5.2 hours (95% CI 4.7-5.7 hours). HPD of 30 mm and AoP of 125° both predicted delivery in 3.0 hours (95% CI for HPD 2.5-3.8, for AoP 2.4-3.7 hours) 294 Detailed information is shown in Table 3.

296	fetal positions at the first measurement in the women who delivered spontaneously. The fetal
297	head station was highest for fetuses in an OP position. The pattern of rapid descent was
298	similar for all positions and seemed to occur around four hours before birth. Oxytocin
299	augmentation was used in 41% of women and these women had a slower descent (Figure 6).
300	Figure 7 shows the HPD and AoP descent patterns in women with the use of epidural
301	analgesia. Descent was only slightly slower in the 62% of women with epidural analgesia.
302	The descent patterns for women included with four to five cm dilatation were not different
303	compared with those included at more advanced dilatation (Figure 8).
304	Head-perineum distance and angle of progression are independent methods but
305	correlated ( $r = -0.80$ ; $p < 0.001$ ) and gave similar mirror image patterns. The correlation
306	between clinically assessed fetal station and HPD was $r$ =-0.75; p<0.001 and for AoP $r$ =0.75;
307	p<0.001. The association between clinically assessed station and ultrasound measurements is
308	shown in Supplementary Figure 2.

Figure 5 illustrates HPD and AoP descent curves differentiated by ultrasound assessed

309

295

#### 310 **Comment**

#### 311 **Principal findings**

This is the first study describing longitudinally assessed fetal head descent using ultrasound among nulliparous women in spontaneous labor. We were able to create curves for fetal head descent, stratified by mode of delivery and for spontaneous deliveries we created curves stratified by both occiput position and cervical dilatation at inclusion and for epidural use and oxytocin augmentation. We found a significant association between measuring AoP and HPD at the first and last examination and mode of delivery. AoP and HPD measurements could be used to predict time to vaginal delivery and we have related them to the degree of cervical
dilatation. These results confirm the feasibility of following fetal head descent during labor
by ultrasound.

#### 321 Clinical significance

322 Reducing the number of vaginal examinations during labor is important, both because of the discomfort and pain associated with them and not least to reduce the risk of infection.<sup>49, 50</sup> 323 324 Compared to clinical vaginal examinations, measuring HPD and AoP is easy, non-invasive, and causes little discomfort, as confirmed by acceptability studies.<sup>51-54</sup> Ultrasound images can 325 be stored and used as an objective documentation. The idea of a sonopartogram has already 326 been advanced.<sup>55</sup> Being able to predict both the outcome of labor and time remaining in labor 327 328 is important for both the woman in labor and her care provider. By describing the labor patterns for AoP and HPD and the associations to mode of delivery, time remaining in labor 329 330 and to cervical dilatation we have shown that transperineal ultrasound is a feasible method to follow the progress of labor and can complement clinical vaginal examinations. 331

#### 332 **Research implications**

Friedman published the graphic analysis of labor in 1954<sup>13</sup> and the patterns of cervical 333 dilatation and fetal descent in 1965.<sup>6-8</sup> Although Friedman considered both cervical dilatation 334 and fetal descent of equal clinical importance there has since been a universal emphasis on 335 following labor progress based on assessments of cervical dilatation in preference to fetal 336 head descent. Vaginal delivery requires full cervical dilatation, but it is equally important for 337 338 fetal head descent to be achieved down to the pelvic floor. Labor moreover does not end at 339 full dilatation. Clinical palpation of the fetal head station is subjective and has limited reliability.<sup>5, 53, 56, 57</sup> The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' classification 340 of clinical assessment of fetal station has therefore been questioned due to the inaccuracy of 341

the method.<sup>58</sup> Researchers studying fetal descent have also called for a more objective
measure of fetal station than the clinical examination offers.<sup>8, 23</sup> Ultrasound methods also
have limitations with inter-observer and inter-device variability,<sup>53, 59</sup> but are more accurate.
than clinical examinations. Their use has therefore been encouraged as more objective in
recent years.<sup>47, 53, 60</sup> The objective nature of AoP has also been correlated to anatomical
landmarks, using MRI and CT techniques.<sup>61, 62</sup>

348 Visual comparisons show the ultrasound descent pattern to be similar in shape to the clinical curves published by Friedman.<sup>8</sup> The ultrasound assessed fetal head was slightly 349 350 higher and above the mid-pelvis level initially. In the original clinical data the fetal head was, 351 on average, considered to have advanced below the maternal spinal plane when the active phase had been reached.<sup>8</sup> There are fundamental methodological differences in comparing the 352 clinical assessment of the spinal plane and the results created by using HPD and AoP values 353 to determine fetal head descent but attempts have been made to relate the two.<sup>1, 47, 61-63</sup> 354 355 Another variation is the relationship between cervical dilatation and rapid descent. The 356 descent started on average at four cm dilatation according to Friedman's curves and reached 357 its maximum rate of descent at an average of six cm dilatation. We found rapid descent to 358 start around seven to eight cm dilatation but in both cases leading to delivery around four 359 hours later. The duration of the active phase was shorter in Friedman's curves; 4.9 hours vs. 360 8.4 hours in our study. This difference may be caused by different definitions of start of 361 active phase of labor. By Friedman's definition the active phase begins at a variable degree of 362 cervical dilatation but we defined the start of the active phase at four cm in accordance with 363 the actual WHO recommendations. The difference observed may also be caused by changes in the obstetric population or practice. <sup>21-23</sup> Taking into account that the forces which drive 364 365 the rapid and progressive descent may contrive to build up to a decisive turning point later in 366 the cervical dilatational process of labor. This may be more important than the actual cervical

367 dilatation and calls for more time to be allowed in an otherwise normal labor.

We found that 2nd degree models described the relationship between cervical dilatation and ultrasonically measured fetal head station better than linear models in women delivering vaginally. This differs from the findings of Hamilton et al. who found a linear relationship between cervical dilatation and clinically assessed fetal station.<sup>23</sup>

When the fetal head was in the occiput posterior position at the first measurement, the fetal head station was higher throughout the early part of the active phase. But this did not appear to affect the pattern of rapid descent towards the end of labor, which was similar for all initial occiput positions in women delivering spontaneously.

376 We defined active labor as regular contractions with a fully effaced and 4 cm dilated 377 cervix, which at the time was the WHO definition of active labor. Since then WHO has changed its definition to a 5 cm dilatation<sup>64</sup> and Zhang et al. recommended 6 cm as the start 378 of the active phase.<sup>17</sup> As we used the time of delivery as the reference point and then 379 calculated backwards, similar to the methodology used by Zhang et al., we do not believe 380 381 these differences in definition have any bearing on the patterns of descent supported by our 382 findings of almost identical descent patterns for women included at four to five cm dilatation 383 compared with those included with  $\geq$  six cm.

In order to get a complete picture of nulliparous women in spontaneous labor we did not exclude women with advanced dilation on admission. This represents the reality of spontaneous labors. Excluding these women would have resulted in a selected population of women having slow labors.

388 We decided to do paired clinical and ultrasound examinations and follow the hospital 389 protocol for vaginal examinations during labor, i.e. at least every four hours as we felt this would be sufficient to construct labor patterns for the whole group. Having a strict protocol
of more frequent examinations would have given us more accurate knowledge of clinical
progress for each individual woman.

393 Studies have shown conflicting results of the effects epidural analgesia has on the progress of labor.<sup>65-79</sup> In our study group 62% of the women had epidural analgesia. There 394 395 were no differences between the fetal head levels during the early part of the active phase 396 associated with epidural use in women delivering spontaneously, but the curve of fetal head 397 descent was slightly more sloping in these women. This is in line with the results of a recent 398 study using ultrasound methods to monitor fetal head descent.<sup>36</sup> A study following women in 399 induced labors with ultrasound by measuring fetal head descent, has also demonstrated 400 different patterns of labor progress in women delivering vaginally and those needing cesarean delivery.80 401

402 Oxytocin augmentation was used in 41% of the women and in these labors slower 403 descent was observed. This is not surprising because slow progress is the indication for 404 oxytocin augmentation. Although it would be ideal to study only spontaneous, unstimulated 405 labors, it would be unethical to withhold treatment of labor inertia. The protocol for 406 augmentation used was the agreed protocol at our hospital and the cesarean delivery rate in 407 the study corresponds to the 8% cesarean delivery rate for group 1 in the Robson 10-group 408 classification system at our hospital. We therefore think that the internal validity was good. 409 However, the external validity may be limited by a relatively low overall cesarean delivery rate of 15.3% in Iceland.<sup>81</sup> Similar studies should be performed in other countries and other 410 411 populations. In our cohort only one cesarean delivery was performed because of fetal distress 412 but this was at full dilatation after slowly progressing dilatation with oxytocin augmentation.

413 We reported both HPD and AoP measurements because for research purposes these

414 methods are complimentary and reinforce the results when taken together. Although there are 415 methodological differences between the two, with AoP using the symphysis as a reference 416 point and HPD representing the remaining distance for the fetal head to pass to the pelvic 417 outlet, they have been shown to be well correlated.<sup>82</sup> The methods were correlated also in 418 our study and showed similar labor pattern curves suggest these measurements could be used 419 interchangeably. The correlation between ultrasound measurements and clinical assessments 420 in our study was good at high stations, but not at low stations (Supplementary Figure 2).

#### 421 Strengths and limitations

422 The strengths of this study were the prospective, longitudinal design and a well-defined 423 population of spontaneously laboring nulliparous women recruited in the active phase of 424 labor. The ultrasound examiners were both fetal medicine specialists, which adds to the quality of the examinations. This could also be considered a weakness as the results might 425 426 not be directly applicable to the average labor ward staff. It has, however, been shown that these skills are easily obtained.<sup>62, 83</sup> The non-consecutive nature of inclusion may be 427 428 considered a limitation but inclusions occurred on given days when the ultrasound examiners 429 were available and we are not aware of any selection bias. The small sample size, especially 430 as regards the operative delivery numbers, limits generalizability of the results and further 431 studies in both parous and non-parous women in spontaneous and induced labor are needed.

#### 432 **Conclusions**

We used objective, tested, intrapartum ultrasound methods to describe the pattern of fetal
head descent in nulliparous women at term. The patterns resemble previously published
clinical patterns. Ultrasonically measured fetal head station was associated with mode of
delivery and with remaining time in labor. The results of this study may encourage further
studies of fetal descent with ultrasound in other well-defined groups of women in labor and in

438 other settings.

#### 439 Acknowledgements

- 440 The authors thank the midwives at the labor ward in Landspitali University Hospital for help
- 441 with recruitment, clinical examinations and data collection. We thank Helga Birna
- 442 Gunnarsdottir, project manager at Landspitali University Hospital, for help with setting up
- the data base.

# 444 References445

- 446 1. Simon EG, Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F. Ultrasound in labor monitoring: how to define the
  447 plane of ischial spines? Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2013;42:722-3.
- 448 2. Yeo L, Romero R. Sonographic evaluation in the second stage of labor to improve the
  449 assessment of labor progress and its outcome. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol
  450 2009;33:253-8.
- 451 3. Takeda S, Takeda J, Koshiishi T, Makino S, Kinoshita K. Fetal station based on the
  452 trapezoidal plane and assessment of head descent during instrumental delivery.
  453 Hypertension Research in Pregnancy 2014;2:65-71.
- 454 4. Ghi T, Farina A, Pedrazzi A, Rizzo N, Pelusi G, Pilu G. Diagnosis of station and
  455 rotation of the fetal head in the second stage of labor with intrapartum translabial
  456 ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:331-6.
- 457 5. Dupuis O, Silveira R, Zentner A, et al. Birth simulator: reliability of transvaginal
  458 assessment of fetal head station as defined by the American College of Obstetricians
  459 and Gynecologists classification. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:868-74.
- 460 6. Friedman EA, Sachtleben MR. Station of the fetal presenting part: III.
- 461 Interrelationship with cervical dilatation. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1965;93:537-42.
- Friedman EA, Sachtleben MR. Station of the fetal presenting part. II. Effect on the course of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1965;93:530-36.
- 464 8. Friedman EA, Sachtleben MR. Station of the fetal presenting part: I. Pattern of
  465 descent. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1965;93:522-29.
- 466 9. World Health Organization maternal h, safe motherhood p. World Health
  467 Organization partograph in management of labour. The Lancet 1994;343:1399-404.
- 468 10. Mathai M, Engelbrecht SM, Bonet M, Organisation mondiale de la s, Unicef.
  469 *Managing complications in pregnancy and childbirth : a guide for midwives and*470 *doctors*.2017; 94.
- 471 11. Philpott RH, Castle WM. Cervicographs in the management of labour in
  472 primigravidae. I. The alert line for detecting abnormal labour. J Obstet Gynaecol Br
  473 Commonw 1972;79:592-8.
- 474 12. Philpott RH, Castle WM. Cervicographs in the management of labour in
  475 primigravidae. II. The action line and treatment of abnormal labour. J Obstet
  476 Gynaecol Br Commonw 1972;79:599-602.
- 477 13. Friedman E. The graphic analysis of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1954;68:1568-75.

478 470	14.	Friedman EA. Primigravid labor: A graphicostatistical analysis. Obstet Gynecol
479	15	1955,0.507-07. Romaro P. A profile of Emanuel A Eriedman MD DMedSci Am I Obstet Gynecol
400 481	13.	2016:215:413 A
482	16	Cohen WR Eriedman EA Perils of the new labor management guidelines $Am I$
482	10.	Obstet Gynecol 2015:212:420-7
483	17	Zhang L Landy HL Branch DW et al. Contemporary patterns of spontaneous labor
404	17.	with normal neonatal outcomes. Obstet Gynecol 2010:116:1281-7
485	18	Suzuki R. Horiuchi S. Obtsu H. Evaluation of the labor curve in nullinarous Japanese
400	10.	women Am I Obstet Gynecol 2010:203:226 e1-26 e6
488	19	Rinehart BK Terrone DA Hudson C Isler CM I armon IF Perry KG I ack of utility
489	17.	of standard labor curves in the prediction of progression during labor induction Am I
490		Obstet Gynecol 2000:182:1520-26
491	20	Impev J. Hobson J. O'Herlihy C. Graphic analysis of actively managed labor:
492	20.	Prospective computation of labor progress in 500 consecutive nulliparous women in
493		spontaneous labor at term. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000:183:438-43
494	21	Zhang I. Troendle IF. Yancey MK. Reassessing the labor curve in nulliparous
495		women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002:187:824-8.
496	22.	Graseck A. Tuuli M. Roehl K. Odibo A. MacOnes G. Cahill A. Fetal descent in labor.
497		Obstet Gynecol 2014:123:521-26.
498	23.	Hamilton EF, Simoneau G, Ciampi A, et al. Descent of the fetal head (station) during
499		the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;214:360.e1-6.
500	24.	Akmal S, Kametas N, Tsoi E, Hargreaves C, Nicolaides KH. Comparison of
501		transvaginal digital examination with intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head
502		position before instrumental delivery. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2003;21:437-40.
503	25.	Sherer DM, Miodovnik M, Bradley KS, Langer O. Intrapartum fetal head position I:
504		comparison between transvaginal digital examination and transabdominal ultrasound
505		assessment during the active stage of labor. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2002;19:258-
506		63.
507	26.	Chan YTV, Ng VKS, Yung WK, Lo TK, Leung WC, Lau WL. Relationship between
508		intrapartum transperineal ultrasound measurement of angle of progression and head-
509		perineum distance with correlation to conventional clinical parameters of labor
510		progress and time to delivery. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine
511		2015;28:1476-81.
512	27.	Eggebo TM, Wilhelm-Benartzi C, Hassan WA, Usman S, Salvesen KA, Lees CC. A
513		model to predict vaginal delivery in nulliparous women based on maternal
514		characteristics and intrapartum ultrasound. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;213:6.
515	28.	Kahrs BH, Usman S, Ghi T, et al. Sonographic prediction of outcome of vacuum
516		deliveries: a multicenter, prospective cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol
517	•	2017;217:69.e1-69.e10.
518	29.	Kasbaoui S, Séverac F, Aïssi G, et al. Predicting the difficulty of operative vaginal
519		delivery by ultrasound measurement of fetal head station. Am J Obstet Gynecol
520	20	2017;216:507.e1-07.e9.
521	30.	Ducarme G, Hamel J-F, Sentilnes L. Comment on: Predicting the difficulty of
522		Obstate Compared 2017/2217/221, 22
525 524	21	Ousiel Gynecol 2017;217:381-82. Sananda N. Kashaoui S. Savaraa E. Danky, Am J.Okatat Conservat 2017;217:292
524 525	51. 22	Sananes IN, Kasuaoui S, Severac F. Kepiy. Alli J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:382.
525 576	32.	Samz JA, Garcia-Wejiuo JA, Aquise A, Donero C, Donomi MJ, Fernandez-Palacin A.
520 527		A simple model to predict the complicated operative vaginal deriveries using vacuum or foreans. Am I Obstat Gunacal 2018;210:N DAG N DAG
541		or roleps. All J Obster Oyliccol 2010,219.IN.FAO-IN.FAO.

<ul> <li>assessment for the prediction of labor outcome. Fetal Diagn Ther 2018:1-12.</li> <li>34. Chor CM, Poon LCY, Leung TY. Prediction of labor outcome using serial transperineal ultrasound in the first stage of labor. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal &amp; Neonatal Medicine 2019;32:31-37.</li> <li>35. Bellussi F, Ghi T, Youssef A, et al. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.</li> <li>36. Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound- determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>37. Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>38. Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>39. Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:131-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head postion. J Matern Fetal Neona</li></ul>	528	33.	Chan WWY, Chaemsaithong P, Lim WT. Pre-induction transperineal ultrasound
<ol> <li>Sto Chor CM, Poon LCY, Leung TY. Prediction of labor outcome using serial transperineal ultrasound in the first stage of labor. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal &amp; Neonatal Medicine 2019;32:31-37.</li> <li>Bellussi F, Chi T, Youssef A, et al. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.</li> <li>Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound- determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:5163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.<td>529</td><td></td><td>assessment for the prediction of labor outcome. Fetal Diagn Ther 2018:1-12.</td></li></ol>	529		assessment for the prediction of labor outcome. Fetal Diagn Ther 2018:1-12.
<ul> <li>transperineal ultrasound in the first stage of labor. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal &amp; Neonatal Medicine 2019;32:31-37.</li> <li>Sbellussi F, Ghi T, Youssef A, et al. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.</li> <li>Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound- determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:5563.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:5563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kamata N, Moard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Int</li></ul>	530	34.	Chor CM, Poon LCY, Leung TY. Prediction of labor outcome using serial
<ul> <li>Neonatal Medicine 2019;32:31-37.</li> <li>Bellussi F, Ghi T, Youssef A, et al. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.</li> <li>Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound-determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;22:0520:e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84 e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;22:0:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23-39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;2:3787-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7. 81.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Me</li></ul>	531		transperineal ultrasound in the first stage of labor. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal &
<ol> <li>Bellussi F, Ghi T, Youssef A, et al. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.</li> <li>Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound- determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azrarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kaametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7. 81.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Intrestigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Har</li></ol>	532		Neonatal Medicine 2019;32:31-37.
<ul> <li>malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.</li> <li>Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound- determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:13-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Konward R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines:</li></ul>	533	35.	Bellussi F, Ghi T, Youssef A, et al. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose
<ol> <li>Chaemsaithong P, Kwan AHW, Tse WT, et al. Factors that affect ultrasound- determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;212:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E,</li></ol>	534		malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:633-41.
<ul> <li>determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>37. Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>38. Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>39. Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:5163.</li> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head deset in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T,</li></ul>	535	36.	Chaemsaithong P. Kwan AHW. Tse WT. et al. Factors that affect ultrasound-
<ul> <li>Gynecol 2019;220:592.e1-92.e15.</li> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.<!--</td--><td>536</td><td></td><td>determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet</td></li></ul>	536		determined labor progress in women undergoing induction of labor. Am J Obstet
<ol> <li>Ghi T, Bellussi F, Azzarone C, et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:315-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG, Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39</li></ol>	537		Gynecol 2019:220:592.e1-92.e15.
<ul> <li>index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23-39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) — A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;25:128-39.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gyneco</li></ul>	538	37.	Ghi T. Bellussi F. Azzarone C. et al. The "occiput-spine angle": a new sonographic
<ol> <li>2016;215:84.e1-7.</li> <li>38. Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic</li></ol>	539		index of fetal head deflexion during the first stage of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol
<ol> <li>38. Gustapane S, Malvasi A, Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>39. Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and</li></ol>	540		2016·215·84 e1-7
<ul> <li>malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2018;218:540-41.</li> <li>Yaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Mfi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk</li></ul>	541	38.	Gustapane S. Malvasi A. Tinelli A. The use of intrapartum ultrasound to diagnose
<ol> <li>Vaisbuch E, Zabatani A, Gillor M, Barak O, Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translaial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospect</li></ol>	542	001	malpositions and cephalic malpresentations. Am I Obstet Gynecol 2018:218:540-41
<ul> <li>of progression in nulliparous women at term, not in labor, predict spontaneous onset of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perroin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	543	39	Vaisbuch E. Zabatani A. Gillor M. Barak O. Levi R. 264: Can assessment of the angle
<ul> <li>of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S163.</li> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	544	57.	of progression in nulliparous women at term not in labor, predict spontaneous onset
<ol> <li>40. Peterson AT, Kleiner JE, Koniares KG, House MD. 866: Effect of maternal obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion (CT)</li> </ol>	545		of labor Am I Obstet Gynecol 2017:216:\$163
<ul> <li>40. Felerion Fig. Regiments Ref. Notice MD. concentration function and obesity on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	546	40	Peterson AT Kleiner IF Konjares KG House MD 866: Effect of maternal obesity
<ul> <li>Gynecol 2019;220:S563.</li> <li>41. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	547	<del>-</del> 0.	on using the angle of progression to predict successful labor induction $\Delta m I Obstet$
<ol> <li>Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001;12:23- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ol>	548		Gynecol 2019-220-\$563
<ol> <li>Kooson M.S. Classification of classaccan sections. Fedar Matchi Med Rev 2001;12:25- 39.</li> <li>Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion (521)</li> </ol>	5/0	<i>A</i> 1	Robson MS Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal Matern Med Rev 2001:12:23
<ol> <li>42. Eggebo TM, Gjessing LK, Heien C, et al. Prediction of labor and delivery by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>43. Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ol>	550	41.	30
<ul> <li>Legebo TM, Ojessing EK, Helch C, et al. Helch O, et al. Trouton of habor and derively by transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	551	42	Eggebo TM Giessing IK Heien C et al Prediction of labor and delivery by
<ul> <li>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;27:387-91.</li> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	552	72.	transperineal ultrasound in pregnancies with prelabor runture of membranes at term
<ol> <li>Barbera AF, Pombar X, Perugino G, Lezotte DC, Hobbins JC. A new method to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ol>	553		Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006:27:387-91
<ul> <li>biatoria Ar, Fendgino G, Debote DC, Robonis JC. Arthew include to assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	554	43	Barbera AF Pombar X Perugino G Lezotte DC Hobbins IC A new method to
<ul> <li>Gynecol 2009;33:313-19.</li> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	555	чэ.	assess fetal head descent in labor with transperineal ultrasound Ultrasound Obstet
<ul> <li>44. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Kametas N, Howard R, Nicolaides KH. Intrapartum sonography to determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	556		Gynecol 2009:33:313-19
<ul> <li>determine fetal head position. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;12:172-7.</li> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	557	44	Akmal S Tsoi E Kametas N Howard R Nicolaides KH Intrapartum sonography to
<ul> <li>45. Akmal S, Tsoi E, Howard R, Osei E, Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occiput posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377- 81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	558		determine fetal head position I Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002:12:172-7
<ul> <li>Fight 13, 130 E, Howard R, Oser E, Ricolades RH. Investigation of occupation of occupation posterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	559	45	Akmal S. Tsoi F. Howard R. Osei F. Nicolaides KH. Investigation of occinut
<ul> <li>Josteriol denvery by Intrapartum sonography. Ontasound obset Gynecol</li> <li>2004;24:425-8.</li> <li>Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic</li> <li>data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for</li> <li>providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-</li> <li>81.</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound.</li> <li>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic</li> <li>study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to</li> <li>improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	560	ч.	nosterior delivery by intrapartum sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol
<ul> <li>46. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-81.</li> <li>566 47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>568 48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>572 49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	561		$2004 \cdot 24 \cdot 425 = 8$
<ul> <li>40. Harris FA, Taylor R, Therke R, Fayne J, Gonzalez IV, Conde JO. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-81.</li> <li>47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	562	46	Harris PA Taylor R Thielke R Payne I Gonzalez N Conde IG Research electronic
<ul> <li>bis data capture (REDCap) — A includual driven includuology and worknow process for</li> <li>providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-</li> <li>81.</li> <li>66 47. Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound.</li> <li>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic</li> <li>study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to</li> <li>improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	563	<del>-</del> 0.	data capture (REDCap) A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for
<ul> <li>Solation of the providing translational research informatics support. 5 Biomed inform 2009,42.577</li> <li>Solation Structure informatics support. 5 Biomed inform 2009,42.577</li> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	564		providing translational research informatics support I Biomed Inform 2009.42:377-
<ul> <li>Ghi T, Eggebo T, Lees C, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound.</li> <li>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic</li> <li>study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to</li> <li>improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	565		81
<ul> <li>567 Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;52:128-39.</li> <li>568 48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic</li> <li>569 study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to</li> <li>570 improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>571 Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>572 49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>573 prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	566	47	Ghi T. Eggebo T. Lees C. et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: intrapartum ultrasound
<ul> <li>48. Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG. Computed tomographic</li> <li>study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to</li> <li>improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	567	<b>ч</b> /.	Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018:52:128-39
<ul> <li>569 48. Antidas C3, Ferforin F, Fatar F, Brunereau E, Sinion EO. Computed tomographic</li> <li>569 study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to</li> <li>570 improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>571 Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>572 49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>573 prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	568	48	Arthuis CL Perrotin E Patat E Brunereau L Simon EG Computed tomographic
<ul> <li>study of anatolinear relationship between puble symphysis and isema spines to</li> <li>improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet</li> <li>Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	560	40.	study of anatomical relationship between public symphysis and ischial spines to
<ul> <li>570 Improve interpretation of intrapartial translation durasound. Ontrasound Obsect</li> <li>571 Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.</li> <li>572 49. Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>573 prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	570		improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet
<ul> <li>Soper DE, Mayhall CG, Dalton HP. Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a</li> <li>prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion</li> </ul>	571		Gynecol 2016:48:779-85
573 prospective epidemiologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:562-6; discussion	572	10	Soper DE Mayhall CG Dalton HP Risk factors for intraamniotic infection: a
575 prospective epidemiologic study. An 5 Obstet Oynecol 1767,101.502-0, discussion	572	47.	prospective enidemiologic study. Am I Obstet Gynecol 1080:161:562-6: discussion
∑// 66-X	574		66-8
575 50 Seaward PGR Hannah MF Myhr TL et al International Multicenter Term DDOM	575	50	Seaward PGR Hannah MF Myhr TL et al International Multicenter Term DDOM
575 50. Study: Evaluation of predictors of neonatal infaction in infants horn to patients with	576	50.	Study: Evaluation of predictors of neonatal infaction in infants born to patients with
577 premature rupture of membranes at term Am I Obstet Gynecol 1998:179:635-39	577		premature rupture of membranes at term. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998:179:635-39

578	51	Chan YT. Ng KS. Yung WK. Lo TK. Lau WL. Leung WC. Is intrapartum translabial
579	011	ultrasound examination painless? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2016:29:3276-80.
580	52.	Seval MM. Yuce T. Kalafat E. et al. Comparison of effects of digital vaginal
581		examination with transperineal ultrasound during labor on pain and anxiety levels: a
582		randomized controlled trial. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2016:48:695-700.
583	53.	Benediktsdottir S. Salvesen KÅ, Hiartardottir H. Eggebø TM, Reproducibility and
584		acceptability of ultrasound measurements of head-perineum distance. Acta Obstet
585		Gynecol Scand 2018:97:97-103.
586	54.	Usman S. Barton H. Wilhelm-Benartzi C. Lees CC. Ultrasound is better tolerated than
587		vaginal examination in and before labour. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2019:59:362-
588		66.
589	55.	Hassan WA, Eggebo T, Ferguson M, et al. The sonopartogram: a novel method for
590		recording progress of labor by ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2014:43:189-
591		94.
592	56.	Tutschek B. Torkildsen EA. Eggebo TM. Comparison between ultrasound parameters
593		and clinical examination to assess fetal head station in labor. Ultrasound Obstet
594		Gynecol 2013:41:425-9.
595	57.	Yuce T. Kalafat E. Koc A. Transperineal ultrasonography for labor management:
596	011	accuracy and reliability. 2015:94:760-65.
597	58.	Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Simon EG, Fetal head station: myth of ACOG classification.
598		Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2017:49:280-80.
599	59.	Iversen JK, Eggebø TM. Increased diagnostic accuracy of fetal head station by use of
600		transabdominal ultrasound. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2019:98:805-06.
601	60.	Dückelmann AM, Bamberg C, Michaelis SA, et al. Measurement of fetal head
602		descent using the 'angle of progression' on transperineal ultrasound imaging is reliable
603		regardless of fetal head station or ultrasound expertise. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol
604		2010:35:216-22.
605	61.	Arthuis CJ, Perrotin F, Patat F, Brunereau L, Simon EG, Computed tomographic
606		study of anatomical relationship between pubic symphysis and ischial spines to
607		improve interpretation of intrapartum translabial ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet
608		Gynecol 2016;48:779-85.
609	62.	Bamberg C, Scheuermann S, Fotopoulou C, et al. Angle of progression measurements
610		of fetal head at term: a systematic comparison between open magnetic resonance
611		imaging and transperineal ultrasound. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012;206:161.e1-61.e5.
612	63.	Perlman S, Kivilevitch Z, Moran O, et al. Correlation between clinical fetal head
613		station and sonographic angle of progression during the second stage of labor. The
614		Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 2018;31:2905-10.
615	64.	Library WRH. WHO recommendation on definitions of the latent and active first
616		stages of labour. 2018.
617	65.	Potter N, Macdonald RD. Obstetric consequences of epidural analgesia in nulliparous
618		patients. The Lancet 1971;297:1031-34.
619	66.	Thorp JA, Hu DH, Albin RM, et al. The effect of intrapartum epidural analgesia on
620		nulliparous labor: A randomized, controlled, prospective trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol
621		1993;169:851-58.
622	67.	Studd JWW, Crawford JS, Duignan NM, Rowbotham CJF, Hughes AO. The effect of
623		lumbar epidural analgesia on the rate of cervical dilatation and the outcome of labour
624		of spontaneous onset. BJOG 1980;87:1015-21.
625	68.	Crawford JS. Continuous lumbar epidural analgesia for labour and delivery. Br Med J
626		1979;1:72-74.

627 69. Alexander JM, Lucas MJ, Ramin SM, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ. The course of labor 628 with and without epidural analgesia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998;178:516-20. 629 70. Clark A, Carr D, Loyd G, Cook V, Spinnato J. The influence of epidural analgesia on 630 cesarean delivery rates: A randomized, prospective clinical trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998;179:1527-33. 631 Howell CJ, Kidd C, Roberts W, et al. A randomised controlled trial of epidural 632 71. 633 compared with non-epidural analgesia in labour. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2001;108:27-634 33. 635 72. Sharma SK, Alexander JM, Messick G, et al. Cesarean delivery: A randomized trial 636 of epidural analgesia versus intravenous meperidine analgesia during labor in nulliparous women. Anesthesiology 2002;96:546-51. 637 Jain S, Arya VK, Gopalan S, Jain V. Analgesic efficacy of intramuscular opioids 638 73. 639 versus epidural analgesia in labor. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics 2003:83:19-27. 640 641 74. Lewkowitz AK, Tuuli MG, Stout MJ, Woolfolk C, Macones GA, Cahill AG. 457: 642 Epidural anesthesia and the modern labor curve: how timing of epidural initiation 643 impacts fetal station during active labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;216:S269-S70. 644 75. Anim-Somuah M, Smyth RMD, Cyna AM, Cuthbert A. Epidural versus non-epidural 645 or no analgesia for pain management in labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018. 646 76. Ohel G, Gonen R, Vaida S, Barak S, Gaitini L. Early versus late initiation of epidural analgesia in labor: Does it increase the risk of cesarean section? A randomized trial. 647 648 Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;194:600-05. 649 77. Bofill JA, Vincent RD, Ross EL, et al. Nulliparous active labor, epidural analgesia, and cesarean delivery for dystocia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;177:1465-70. 650 651 78. Nageotte MP, Larson D, Rumney PJ, Sidhu M, Hollenbach K. Epidural analgesia 652 compared with combined spinal-epidural analgesia during labor in nulliparous women. N Engl J Med 1997;337:1715-19. 653 79. Effect of low-dose mobile versus traditional epidural techniques on mode of delivery: 654 655 a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 2001;358:19-23. Tse WT, Chaemsaithong P, Chan WWY, et al. Labor progress determined by 656 80. ultrasound is different in women requiring cesarean delivery from those who 657 experience a vaginal delivery following induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 658 2019;221:335.e1-35.e18. 659 81. Pyykonen A, Gissler M, Lokkegaard E, et al. Cesarean section trends in the Nordic 660 661 Countries - a comparative analysis with the Robson classification. Acta Obstet 662 Gynecol Scand 2017;96:607-16. 82. Torkildsen EA, Salvesen KÅ, Eggebø TM. Agreement between two- and three-663 dimensional transperineal ultrasound methods in assessing fetal head descent in the 664 665 first stage of labor. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2012;39:310-15. 83. Dückelmann AM, Michaelis SAM, Bamberg C, Dudenhausen JW, Kalache KD. 666 Impact of intrapartal ultrasound to assess fetal head position and station on the type of 667 obstetrical interventions at full cervical dilatation. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & 668 Neonatal Medicine 2012;25:484-88. 669 670 671 672

# Table 1. Characteristics of the study population

	Cesarean delivery	Instrumental delivery	Spontaneous delivery
	(n=8)	(n=16)	(n=75)
Maternal characteristics			
Maternal age, y	31 (24- 40)	28 (20-38)	26 (18-38)
Body mass index at first visit	26 (23-36)	25 (17-35)	22 (17-36)
Gestational age, wks.	40.5 (37.3-41.6)	40.5 (38-41.7)	39.9 (37-41.9)
Labor characteristics			
Oxytocin augmentation	7 (88)	12 (75)	22 (29)
Epidural analgesia	7 (88)	11 (69)	43 (57)
Length of labor, h	12.8 (8.9-26)	10.2 (4.7-18.9)	7.8 (1.4-24.3)
Newborn characteristics			
Birthweight, g	3790 (3200-4310)	3890 (2750- 4540)	3520 (2480-5000)
Apgar at 1 min	8.5 (5 -10)	8 (2- 9)	9 (2-10)
Apgar at 5 min	10 (9-10)	9 (8-10)	10 (5-10)
<b>TT 1 1</b> ( )			

Values are median (range) or n (%)

# Table 2. Cervical dilatation and ultrasound measurements of fetal head station at first and last examination

differentiated	into	mode	of delivery	

	Cesarean Delivery	Instrumental Delivery	Spontaneous Delivery	<i>p</i> value
	(n=8)	(n=16)	(n=75)	
At first examination				
Cervical dilation, cm	5 (4-7)	5 (4-8)	5 (4-10)	0.48
Angle of Progression°, (degrees)	88 (73-105)	95 (78-112)	102 (81-128)	0.01
Head-perineum distance, mm	56 (34-66)	47 (35-57)	43 (24-64)	0.02
At last examination				
Cervical dilation, cm	8 (6-10)	10 (5-10)	10 (5-10)	0.01
Angle of Progression°, (degrees)	104 (76-123)	114 (99-155)	123 (82-161)	0.01
Head-perineum distance, mm	47 (33-62)	36 (14-51)	30 (9-57)	0.001

Values are median (range) or n (%)

# Table 3. Predicted time to delivery at level of head-perineum distance and angle of

Head-perineum distance (mm)	Predicted time to	95% CI
	delivery (hours)	(hours)
60	10.5	9.4-11.6
50	8.0	7.3-8.8
40	5.5	5.1-6.1
30	3.0	2.5-3.8
20	0.6	0.0-1.6
Angle of Progression (°)		
80	9.5	8.7-10.4
95	7.4	6.7-8.0
110	5.2	4.7-5.7
125	3.0	2.4-3.7
140	0.8	0.0-1.8

progression in women delivering vaginally

702	Figure 1
703	Title:
704	Measurement of head-perineum distance (HPD)
705	Legend:
706	Transverse transperineal image (frontal plane related to woman) illustrating measurement of
707	head-perineum distance (41 mm in the left image and 21 mm in the right image).
708 709 710 711	Figure 2 Title:
712	Measurement of angle of progression (AoP)
713	Legend:
714	Sagittal transperineal image illustrating measurement of angle of progression (110 degrees in
715	the left image and 130 degrees in the right image)
716	
717	Figure 3
718	Labor curves showing fetal head descent measured with ultrasound and cervical dilatation
719	assessed clinically
720	Labor curves showing fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-perineum distance
721	measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in degrees (middle image)
722	and cervical dilatation assessed clinically in cm (right image) against time in nulliparous
723	women with spontaneous onset of labor. Birth is at 0 hours and time from birth was
724	calculated backwards. The 95% confidence intervals are shaded.
725	
726	Figure 4
727	Title:
728	Labor curves showing fetal head descent measured with ultrasound and cervical dilatation
729	assessed clinically stratified by mode of delivery
730	Legend:
731	Labor curves showing fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-perineum distance
732	measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in degrees (middle image)
733	and cervical dilatation assessed clinically in cm (right image) against time in nulliparous
734	women with spontaneous onset of labor, stratified by mode of delivery. Birth is at 0 hours
735	and time from birth was calculated backwards. The 95% confidence intervals are shaded.
736	

737	Figure 5						
738	Title:						
739	Labor curves showing fetal head descent curves measured with ultrasound stratified by						
740	occiput position at inclusion						
741	Legend:						
742	Labor curves showing patterns of fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-						
743	perineum distance measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in						
744	degrees (right image) against time in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor at						
745	term and also delivering spontaneously, stratified by the fetal occiput position at inclusion.						
746	Birth is at 0 hours and time from birth was calculated backwards. The 95% confidence						
747	intervals are shaded.						
748							
749 750	Figure 6						
751	Title:						
752	Labor curves showing fetal head descent curves measured with ultrasound stratified by						
753	oxytocin augmentation						
754	Legend:						
755	Labor curves showing patterns of fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-						
756	perineum distance measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in						
757	degrees (right image) against time in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor at						
758	term and also delivering spontaneously, stratified by oxytocin augmentation during labor.						
759	Birth is at 0 hours and time from birth was calculated backwards. The 95% confidence						
760	intervals are shaded.						
761							
762	Figure 7						
763	Title:						
764	Labor curves showing fetal head descent curves measured with ultrasound stratified by use of						
765	epidural analgesia						
766	Legend:						
767	Labor curves showing patterns of fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-						
768	perineum distance measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in						

- 769 degrees (right image) against time in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor at
- term and also delivering spontaneously, stratified by the use of epidural analgesia. Birth is at

0 hours and time from birth was calculated backwards. The 95% confidence intervals are
shaded.
Figure 8
Title:
Labor curves showing fetal head descent curves measured with ultrasound stratified by
cervical dilatation at inclusion
Legend:
Labor curves showing patterns of fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-
perineum distance measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in
degrees (right image) against time in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor at
term and also delivering spontaneously, stratified by the cervical dilatation at inclusion. Birth
is at 0 hours and time from birth was calculated backwards. The 95% confidence intervals are
shaded.
Video Clip 1
Legend:
A video clip demonstrating how to obtain the view for measurement of head-perineum
distance (HPD)
Video Clip 2
Legend:
A video clip demonstrating how to obtain the view for measurement of angle of progression
(AoP)





















Case	Cervical dilation	Cervical dilatation	Occiput	Occiput	HPD at	HPD at last	AoP at inclusion	AoP at last	Length of active	Length of	Indicati
	(cm)	at delivery (cill)	inclusion	delivery	(mm)	(mm)	()	(°)	(h:m)	(h:m)	UII
1	4	6	OA	OA	43	34	98	122	17:15		FP
2	5	6	OP	OP	48	47	91	89	10:06		FP
3	6	10	OP	OP	59	49	79	110	08:40	04:53	FP
4	5	8	OP	OP	60	40	84	107	13:55		FP
5	7	9	OP	OP	60	55	84	89	11:35		FP
6	4	10	ROT	OP	52	46	98	100	14:24	00:38	FD
7	5	6	OP	OP	66	62	73	76	11:20		FP
8	4	8	OP	OP	34	33	105	123	25:22		FP

Supplementary Table 1. Details of labors for women needing a cesarean delivery

Supplementary Table 2. Predicted ultrasound measured fetal head station at each cm of cervical dilatation

Cervical dilatation (cm)	Predicted head- perineum distance (mm)	95% CI (mm)	Predicted angle of progression (•)	95% CI (*)
4	44	42-47	102	98-105
5	46	44-47	99	96-101
6	45	44-47	98	96-100
7	44	42-45	101	98-103
8	40	39-42	106	104-108
9	36	34-37	115	113-117
10	29	28-31	126	124-129

### **Supplemental Figure 1**

Title:

Individual fetal head descent curves measured with ultrasound and cervical dilatation curves assessed clinically

# Legend:

Labor curves showing individual patterns of fetal head station measured with ultrasound as head-perineum distance measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in degrees (middle image) and cervical dilatation assessed clinically in cm (right image) against time in nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor at term. Only the curves of women delivering spontaneously are shown. Birth is at 0 hours and time from birth was calculated backwards.

# **Supplemental Figure 2**

Title:

The association between clinically assessed station and ultrasound measurements is shown in supplementary figure 2.

Legend:

A boxplot showing the association between clinically assessed fetal station and fetal station measured with ultrasound as head-perineum distance measured in mm (left image) and angle of progression measured in degrees (right image).